## GENDERED VULNERABILITY OF COASTAL FISHER COMMUNITIES TO CLIMATE HAZARDS IN KERALA STATE, INDIA

Dr.Aswathy Natarajan Principal Scientist ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research institute, India

## **Problem focus**

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCC) identified the state of Kerala as one of the climate vulnerable hotspots threatened by extreme events. The Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment (INCCA) projected that one meter sea level rise could inundate 169 sq. km of the coastal region surrounding Ernakulam district in Kerala.

Coastal fisher communities are highly vulnerable to climate change considering their dependence on fishery based livelihoods, depletion of marine resources, low resource possession, lack of fishing rights and other environmental hazards.

Women in the developing countries experience the impacts of climate hazards more because of their low socio-economic status, low livelihood asset ownership and gendered division of rights and responsibilities.

Very limited studies focussing on gender specific vulnerability to climate hazards in fisheries sector

# **Objective :**To assess the gendered vulnerability of coastal fisher communities

## Study area: Ernakulam district of Kerala





## **Methods**

The livelihood vulnerability assessment is done based on the sustainable livelihoods framework - the livelihood activities practiced depend on the livelihood assets owned, controlled or accessed by the households such as human, physical, natural, social and financial.

The respondents consisted of male headed and female headed households of small scale fisher communities residing in the marine fishing villages of Ernakulum district.

A male headed household is the one in which the primary decision maker is a male.

A female headed household is the one in which the primary decision maker is female (widows, unmarried, married but separated or divorced, or whose husbands stay away from the house for more than 6 months).

The LVI index was constructed based on 9 major components- socio-demographic particulars, livelihood strategies, health status, access to water, access to food, social capital, natural and physical capital, financial capital and natural disasters and climate variability

## The livelihood vulnerability based on composite index

Each subcomponent in the LVI was measured in different scales and hence standardisation of the index was done (Hahn *et al.,* 2009)

Index  $_{sc}$  =  $\underline{S_{a} - S_{min}}$  $S_{max} - S_{min}$ 

Where  $S_a$  is the actual value of the component in the sample category,  $S_{max}$  is the maximum value of the component in the total sample and  $S_{min}$  is the minimum value of the component in the total sample

After each was standardized, the sub-components were averaged to calculate the value of each major component.

Vulnerability index using Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change(2014) method

The IPCC method (2014) of computing vulnerability - contributing factors in the IPCC framework such as hazard specific sensitivity and adaptive capacity

Sensitivity included the degree to which the fishery based livelihood system is responsive to climate stimuli. Socio-demographic, food, water and health components were included.

Adaptive capacity is the capacity of the fishery based livelihood system to adjust to climate change. Adaptive capacity is influenced by infrastructure, social structure, household structure and composition, social capital (social networks and social support institutions) , knowledge, ability to access livelihood assets such as financial technological and information sources.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Socio-demographic and structural	Description	
Proximity of dwellings to shoreline	% household located within 100m from shoreline	
Education of the household	% of households in which household	
head	head had less than primary level	
	education	Proxim to s
Dependency ratio	Ratio of the population <15 and >65	No k
	years to the population between 16	clin
	and 64 years	Educat
Knowledge on climate	% of households where the head	Av Depe
change	does not have knowledge of	
	climate change	



#### Livelihood strategies

Livelihood strategies	Description	
Employment	Inverse of employed	
	members in the household	
Households without	% of household without any	
non fishery based	non-fishery based income	
income	source	





FHH MHH



Social capital	Description
Average receive-give	Ratio of the number of types of help
ratio	received by the household in the past
	month +1 to the number of types of help
	given by the household in the past
	month+1
Households didn't	Percentage of households reported they
approach local	haven't approached local
institutions/ leaders	institutions/leaders for assistance in the
for assistance in the	past 12 months
last 12 months	
Access to	Percentage of households reported
government schemes	difficulties in getting government
	schemes
Membership in	Percentages of households without
societies	membership in cooperatives, fishermen
	societies , Self-help groups (SHGs) .

## **Social capital**



#### **Financial capital**



WHH MHH

#### Natural and physical capital



WHH MHH

#### Health status

Distance to the	Average distance to the		
primary health centre	primary health centre		
Chronic illness	% households having members		
	with chronic illness		
Climate change	% households a having		
related illness	members with climate change		
	related illness		
Leave due to illness	% households where members		
	took leave due to illness		
Medical insurance	% households with medical		
	insurance or health card		
Toilets	% household without toilets		
Toilets non-usable	% household where toilets		
during climate change	become non-useable during		
events such as floods	floods		
or sea level rise			



#### Access to food

Food sufficiency	% households which are food insufficient	
Number of months	Average number of months	
food insecure	the households are food	
	insecure	
Processing of fish	% of households not	
	processing fish for future use	
Fish consumption	% of households not getting	
	enough fish for consumption	
Farming	% households which do not	
	undertake any farming	



#### Access to water

Source o	f water	% households without	
		piped water for	
		household use	
Adequat	е	% households without	
supply of water		adequate supply of	
		water	
Poor	quality	% of households with	
water	during	receiving poor quality	
climate	change	water during floods	
events			



#### Natural disasters and climate variability

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

Natural disasters and climate variability	Description	
Climate change events	nts Average climate change events in the locality	
Accidents or deaths to	ccidents or deaths to Percent of households which had accidents or deaths	
climate change members occurred due to climate change		
Warnings	Percent of households not receiving any warnings	
	related to climate change	
Loss of physical assets	assets Percent of households which had loss of physical assets	
	due to climate change	
Mean minimum	Standard deviation of the monthly mean minimum	
temperature	temperature in the study area during 2010-2020 period	
Mean maximum	Standard deviation of the monthly mean maximum	
temperature	temperature in the study area during 2010-2020 period	
Total rainfall	Standard deviation of the monthly total rainfall in the	
	study area during 2010-2020 period	

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

The female headed households showed more vulnerability with respect to socio-demographic particulars, social networks, access to water and infrastructure and financial capital than male headed households.

Female headed households in the study were dominated by older and widowed women who were less educated , had low capabilities of social networking , possessed low financial capital in terms of monthly household income, low credit access and insurance facilities which made them more vulnerable than the male headed households.

The overall vulnerability was higher for female headed households (0.41) compared to male headed households (0.37)

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Livelihood vulnerability-IPCC method

Contributing	Components	Vulnerability Indices	
factors		МНН	FHH
Sensitivity	<ul> <li>Socio-demographic</li> <li>Health status</li> <li>Access to food</li> <li>Access to water</li> </ul>	0.38	0.59
Adaptive capacity	<ul> <li>Livelihood strategies</li> <li>Natural and physical capital</li> <li>Financial capital</li> <li>Social capital</li> </ul>	0.61	0.56
	Livelihood vulnerability index	0.15	0.26

## Conclusion

- The findings of the study showed that female headed households had higher vulnerability with respect to socio-demographic particulars, water access, social capital, etc. which suggest the need for promotion of social networks, awareness campaigns and community based adaptation measures for building the resilience of fisherwomen.
- Since both male headed and female headed households showed high vulnerability with respect to livelihood strategies, livelihood diversification in non-fishery based livelihoods is necessary for ensuring the livelihood sustainability of coastal communities.
- Female headed households in the coastal fisher communities were more vulnerable than male headed households which imply the need for gender inclusive policies in the national and state level climate change action plan

## **Scope for further research**

- Very limited studies focussed on gendered vulnerability of various communities in India and coastal fisher communities in particular and there is great scope for expanding the study to other coastal districts/ states of the country
- Integration of gender mainstreaming into climate change adaptation interventions and disaster mitigation measures

## **Thank You**